

ζ -PHENOMENOLOGY

DAVID GOSS

ABSTRACT. It is well known that Euler experimentally discovered the functional equation of the Riemann zeta function. Indeed he detected the fundamental $s \mapsto 1 - s$ invariance of $\zeta(s)$ by looking only at special values. In particular, via this functional equation, the permutation group on two letters, $S_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(2)$, is realized as a group of symmetries of $\zeta(s)$. In this paper, we use the theory of special-values of our characteristic p zeta functions to experimentally detect a natural symmetry group $S_{(q)}$ for these functions of cardinality $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ (where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the cardinality of the continuum); $S_{(q)}$ is a realization of the permutation group on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ as homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ stabilizing both the nonpositive and nonnegative integers. We present a number of distinct instances in which $S_{(q)}$ acts (or appears to act) as symmetries of our functions. In particular, we present a natural, but highly mysterious, action of $S_{(q)}$ on a large subset of the domain of our functions that appears to stabilize zeta-zeroes. As of this writing, we do not yet know an overarching formalism that unifies these examples; however, it would seem that this formalism will involve an interplay between the 1-unit group U_1 – playing the role of a “gauge group” – and $S_{(q)}$. Furthermore, we show that $S_{(q)}$ may be naturally realized as an automorphism group of the convolution algebras of characteristic p valued measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Euler’s work on the zeta function has been an inspiration to us for many years. This work is briefly summarized in our Section 2, but we highly recommend [Ay1] to the reader. Euler was able to compute the values of the Riemann zeta function at the positive even integers and at the negative integers. By very cleverly comparing them, he found the basic symmetry given by the famous functional equation of $\zeta(s)$. In particular, the lesson Euler taught us was that the special values are a window allowing one to glimpse very deep internal structure of the zeta function.

In the characteristic p theory, we have long had good results on special values in the basic case where the base ring A is $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. At the positive integers, one had the brilliant analog of Euler’s results due to L.Carlitz in the 1930’s and 1940’s (complete with an analogs of $2\pi i$, Bernoulli numbers, factorials, etc.). At the negative integers, one also had good formulas for the values of the characteristic p zeta function. However, all attempts to put the positive and negative integers together in an “ $s \mapsto 1 - s$ ” fashion failed.

The theory of these characteristic p functions works for any of Drinfeld’s rings A (the affine ring of a complete smooth curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ minus a fixed closed point ∞). It is however substantially harder to do explicit calculations for general A and so there are not yet many specific examples to study.

In the 1990’s Dinesh Thakur [Th1] looked at the “trivial-zeroes” of these zeta-functions for certain nonpolynomial rings A . He found the intriguing phenomenon that such trivial-zeroes may have a higher order of vanishing than naturally arises from the theory (current

Date: Winter, 2010.

This paper is dedicated to my wife Rita.

theory only gives a very classical looking lower bound on this vanishing in general, not the exact order!). More recently Javier Diaz-Vargas [DV2] extended Thakur's calculations. Both Thakur and Diaz-Vargas experimentally found that this general higher vanishing at $-j$ appears to be associated with j of a very curious type: the sum of the q -adic digits of j must be bounded.

We call a trivial zero “regular” if its order is exactly the classical lower bound and “irregular” otherwise. (See Subsection 6.3.1 for a discussion of this terminology.)

We have been trying to come to grips with the implications of Thakur's and Diaz-Vargas's inspired calculations for a few years; see [Go2]. Recently we discovered a huge group of symmetries that seems to underlie their calculations. It is our purpose here to describe this group and its relationship to their calculations as well as other instances when it arises. In particular, we shall see how this group conjecturally allows one to establish certain *finiteness* results on trivial zeroes for characteristic p zeta-functions. Moreover, calculations in the polynomial ring case also indicate that this group acts on the zeroes of the zeta-function (see Subsection 6.2.1).

This paper is written to quickly explain these implications to the reader. We try as much as possible to stay away from general theory and keep the paper as self-contained as possible.

The symmetry group $S_{(q)}$ is introduced in Section 5. It is a group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ obtained by simply rearranging the q -expansion coefficients. In particular, we readily see that $S_{(q)}$ stabilizes *both* the nonpositive and nonnegative integers; there is no mixing as in $s \mapsto 1 - s$. Thus, perhaps, we have the “true” explanation for the failure to somehow put the positive and negative integers together as Euler did. We will see in Section 6.1 that the action of $S_{(q)}$ appears to preserve the orders of trivial zeroes coming from Thakur's and Diaz-Vargas' calculations (which is how we actually discovered it).

Moreover, all may not be lost here in terms of relating the positive and negative integers. Indeed, we shall see in Section 6.3 that $S_{(q)}$ may also be realized as symmetries of Carlitz's “von Staudt-Clausen” result where he calculated the denominator of his Bernoulli analogs at the positive integers in the basic $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ -case. This is very exciting and highly mysterious to us.

Remarkably, we further establish for $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ that $S_{(q)}$ determines the degree of the “special polynomials” (see Definition 9) that arise in the theory; i.e., these degrees are an *invariant* for the action of $S_{(q)}$. Since the trivial zeroes are zeroes of the special polynomials, knowledge of the degrees of these polynomials would obviously bound the orders of trivial zeroes.

As is well-known by now, these characteristic p zeta-functions are analytically continued by “summing according to degree”. For each fixed degree d , one obtains continuous functions from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ into our finite characteristic fields. We further show that the zero sets of these functions, as subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, are *stable* under the action of $S_{(q)}$, and we present some evidence that the same result holds for the v -adic interpolations of $\zeta(s)$ at the finite primes v .

We shall frequently use the essential paper of J. Sheats [Sh1] which, in particular, established rigorously some results mentioned much earlier by L. Carlitz [Ca4]. Sheats did this as he proved the “Riemann hypothesis for $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ ”. In other words, our functions are naturally defined on the space $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ (See Definition 6) which is a product of a characteristic p variable x and p -adic variable y ; Sheats then shows that, upon fixing y , all zeroes in x^{-1} are simple and uniquely determined by their absolute values. So, Galois invariance immediately implies that the zeroes must lie on the line $\mathbb{F}_q((1/T))$.

Note that the classical functional equation, $s \mapsto 1 - s$ becomes $t \mapsto -t$ upon setting $s = 1/2 + it$ and obviously $|t| = |-t|$.

In the function field situation, our whole theory begins by choosing a notion of “positive” (generalizing the notion of “monic polynomial”) and then fixing a positive parameter π . So for instance if we use the standard notion of monic, one could choose $\pi = 1/T$. Once we have chosen π , we are then able to “exponentiate ideals” and define L -series. Passing from one positive parameter π_1 to another π_2 multiplies the x -coordinate of a zeta-zero with a certain power of the “phase” $u = \pi_1/\pi_2$ (which is a 1-unit, see Lemma 3). Clearly multiplication by a power of u does not change the absolute value of the x -coordinate of a given zero, but it *does* alter the various expansions of the zeroes in terms of π_1 and π_2 (as described in Subsection 6.2.1).

In Subsection 6.2.1 we shall also present examples, in the polynomial case, where zeta-zeroes are acted upon via digit permutations and the group $S_{(q)}$. More specifically one takes the expansion of a zero in a parameter π and then permutes the coefficients of this expansion in a prescribed way to obtain other zeroes. This does NOT work for all the coefficients but only seems to for those coefficients which are *invariant* under the change from one parameter to another! (So in this regard, we are obtaining finer information than simply the absolute value.) This passing to the invariants is also what gives the analogy to the use of gauge groups in physics.

Much more work will need to be done before we are able to place the ideas presented here in their proper context.

Finally in 6.3.1 we discuss how the notions of extra vanishing, etc., also arises in the classical theory of Bernoulli numbers. This builds on the famous results of von Staudt-Clausen, Adams, and Kummer.

This paper grew out of my lecture at the workshop “Noncommutative geometry and geometry over the field with one element” at Vanderbilt University in May, 2008 as well as lectures at subsequent conferences. It is my great pleasure to thank the organizers of these very interesting meetings for their kind hospitality and support.

2. EULER’S CREATION OF ζ-PHENOMENOLOGY

We recall here very briefly the fabulous first example of ζ-phenomenology: Euler’s numerical discovery of the functional equation of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. Our treatment here follows that of [Ay1]; we have also covered these ideas in [Go2].

Definition 1. The Bernoulli numbers, B_n , are defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n x^n}{n!}.$$

After many years of work, Euler computed the values $\zeta(2n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ in terms of Bernoulli numbers and obtained the famous formula

$$B_{2n} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2(2n)!}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \zeta(2n). \quad (1)$$

Euler then turned his attention to the values of $\zeta(s)$ at the negative integers where his work on special values becomes divinely inspired! Indeed, Euler did not have the notion of analytic continuation of complex valued functions to work with. Thus he relied on his

instincts for beauty while working with divergent series; nevertheless, he obtained the right values.

Euler begins with the very well known expansion

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots + x^n + \cdots . \quad (2)$$

Clearly this expansion is only valid when $|x| < 1$, but that does not stop Euler. Upon putting $x = -1$, he deduces

$$1/2 = 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \cdots , \quad (3)$$

where we simply ignore questions of convergence! He then applies the operator $x \frac{d}{dx}$ to Equation 2 and again evaluates at $x = -1$ obtaining

$$1/4 = 1 - 2 + 3 - 4 + 5 \cdots . \quad (4)$$

Applying the operator again, Euler finds the “trivial zero”

$$0 = 1 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \cdots , \quad (5)$$

and so on. Euler recognizes the sum on the right of these equations to be the values at the negative integers of the modified ζ -function

$$\zeta^*(s) := (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}/n^s . \quad (6)$$

The wonderful point is, of course, that these values *are* the values rigorously obtained much later by Riemann. (N.B.: in [Ay1], our $\zeta^*(s)$ is denoted $\phi(s)$.)

Nine years later, Euler notices, at least for small $n \geq 2$, that his calculations imply

$$\frac{\zeta^*(1-n)}{\zeta^*(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{(n/2)+1}(2^n-1)(n-1)!}{(2^{n-1}-1)\pi^n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Upon rewriting Equation 7 using his gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ and the cosine, Euler then “hazards” to conjecture

$$\frac{\zeta^*(1-s)}{\zeta^*(s)} = \frac{-\Gamma(s)(2^s-1)\cos(\pi s/2)}{(2^{s-1}-1)\pi^s} , \quad (8)$$

which translates easily into the functional equation of $\zeta(s)$!

Remark 1. Note the important role played by the trivial zeroes in Equation 7 in that they render harmless our inability to calculate explicitly $\zeta^*(n)$, or $\zeta(n)$, at odd integers > 1 .

Euler then calculates both sides of Equation 8 at $s = 1$ and obtains the same answer. To Euler, this is “strong justification” for his conjecture. Of course history has proved him to be spectacularly right!

From now on, until 6.3.1 where we return to classical theory, the symbol “ $\zeta(s)$ ” will be reserved for characteristic p valued functions.

3. THE FACTORIAL IDEAL

In order to later define Bernoulli elements in characteristic p , and so explain Carlitz's von Staudt-Clausen result, we clearly need a good notion of “factorial”.

We begin by reviewing the basic set-up of the characteristic p theory. We let $q = p^{n_0}$ where p is prime and n_0 is a positive integer. Let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q -elements. Choose ∞ to be a fixed closed point on X of degree d_∞ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus $X - \infty$ is an affine curve and we let A be the ring of its global functions. Note that A is a Dedekind domain with finite class group and that $A^* = \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We let k denote the quotient field of A . The completion of k at ∞ is denoted k_∞ and the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of k_∞ (under the canonical topology) is denoted $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. We let $\mathbb{F}_\infty \subset k_\infty$ be the associated finite field. Set $q_\infty := q^{d_\infty}$ so that $\mathbb{F}_\infty \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q_\infty}$.

Of course the simplest example of such an A is $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$. In general though, A will not be Euclidean or factorial.

Let x be a transcendental element.

- Definition 2.** 1. For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we set $[i](x) := x^{q^i} - x$.
 2. We define $L_0(x) \equiv 1$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we set $L_i(x) := [i](x)[i-1](x) \cdots [1](x)$.
 3. We define $D_0(x) \equiv 1$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we set $D_i(x) := [i](x)[i-1](x)^q \cdots [1](x)^{q^{i-1}}$.

Elementary considerations of finite fields allow one to show the following proposition (see Prop. 3.1.6 [Go1]). In it, the elements just defined in Definition 2 are considered as members of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

- Proposition 1.** 1. $[i](x)$ is the product of all monic irreducible polynomials in x whose degree divides i .
 2. $L_i(x)$ is the least common multiple of all polynomials in x of degree i .
 3. $D_i(x)$ is the product of all monic polynomials in x of degree i .

As we will readily see later on (Proposition 3) the polynomials $D_i(x)$ and $L_i(x)$ are universal for the exponential and logarithm of general Drinfeld modules.

Our next goal is to use the functions $D_i(x)$ to define a factorial function à la Carlitz. Let j be an integer that we write q -adically as $j = \sum_{e=0}^w c_e q^e$ where $0 \leq c_e < q$ all e .

Definition 3. We set

$$\Pi_j(x) := \prod_{e=0}^w D_e(x)^{c_e}.$$

As a function of the integer j , $\Pi_j(x)$ satisfies many of the same divisibility results as the classical $n!$.

Let A be an arbitrary affine ring as above. We now define the basic ideals of A of interest to us. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

Definition 4. We set $\tilde{f} := (f(a))_{a \in A}$; i.e., $\tilde{f}$ is the ideal generated by the values of $f(x)$ on the elements of A .

In general one would expect these ideals to be trivial (i.e., equal to A itself) as the example $f(x) = x + 1$ shows. However, for the functions given in Definition 2, they are highly nontrivial.

Example 1. We show here that $[\tilde{i}] = \prod \mathfrak{P}$ where the product ranges over all primes of degree (over $\mathbb{F}_q$) dividing i . Let $\mathfrak{P}$ have degree dividing i ; then modulo $\mathfrak{P}$ we have $a^{q^i} = a$ (or

$a^{q^i} - a = 0$) for any a . Thus $\mathfrak{P}$ must divide $[\tilde{i}]$. Now let $a \in A$ be a uniformizer at $\mathfrak{P}$. Then clearly so is $[i](a)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{P}^2$ does not divide $[\tilde{i}]$. Finally, a moment's thought along these lines also shows that the only possible prime divisors of $[\tilde{i}]$ are those whose degree divides i .

Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of A with additive valuation $v_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Thakur observed that for a function $f(x)$, $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\tilde{f}) = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\tilde{f}_{\mathfrak{P}})$ where $\tilde{f}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the analog of $\tilde{f}$ constructed locally on the completion $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of A at $\mathfrak{P}$. As a consequence, we need only compute these valuations on $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ where it is known that the ideals associated to the functions of Definition 2 and Definition 3 are generated by their values at $x = T$. Thus, using Theorem 9.1.1 of [Go1], we have the following basic factorization of $\tilde{\Pi}_j$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of A of degree d . Then*

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\tilde{\Pi}_j) = \sum_{e \geq 1} [j/q^{ed}],$$

where $[w]$ is the greatest integer function, $w \in \mathbb{Q}$.

In the fundamental case $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, Proposition 2 was proved by W. Sinnott; it is clearly a direct analog of the calculation of the p -adic valuation of $n!$.

Finally, we explain the relationship with Drinfeld modules that the reader may skip as it is not needed for the remainder of the paper. As before, let k be the quotient field of A . Let L be a finite extension of k with O_L the ring of A -integers in L . Let ψ be a Drinfeld module of arbitrary rank over L with coefficients in O_L . Let $e(z) = z + \sum_{i \geq 1} e_i z^{q^i}$ and $l(z) = z + \sum_{i \geq 1} l_i z^{q^i}$ be the exponential and logarithm of the Drinfeld module (obtained say by embedding L into $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$). Let $a \in A$.

Proposition 3. *The elements $D_i(a)e_i$ and $L_i(a)l_i$ lie in O_L .*

Proof. One has the basic recurrence relations

$$e(az) = \psi_a(e(z))$$

and

$$al(z) = l(\psi_a(z)).$$

The result now follows by induction and the definition of $D_i(x)$ and $L_i(x)$. $\square$

4. ZETA FUNCTIONS AND INTEGRAL ζ -VALUES

4.1. Exponentiation of Ideals. As mentioned in the introduction, we shall define these functions and values here with a minimum of theory and refer the reader to Chapter 8 of [Go1] for the elided details. Our goal is to define an analog of n^j where n is a positive integer and j is an arbitrary integer. However, as general A is *not* factorial, we have to define “ $\mathfrak{J}^j$ ” as an element of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^*$ for nonprincipal $\mathfrak{J}$. Here we immediately run into a notational issue in that the symbol “ $\mathfrak{J}^j$ ” is universally reserved for taking the j -th power of the *ideal* $\mathfrak{J}$ in the Dedekind domain A . We do *not* change this; rather we will use “ $\mathfrak{J}^{(j)}$ ” for the above element of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^*$ so that there will be no confusion.

Recall that the completion of k at ∞ is denoted k_{∞} with $\mathbb{F}_{\infty} \subset k_{\infty}$ being the associated finite field; recall also that $q_{\infty} = q^{d_{\infty}}$. Fix an element $\pi \in k_{\infty}^*$ of order 1. Every element $x \in k_{\infty}^*$ has a unique decomposition:

$$x = \zeta_x \pi^{v_{\infty}(x)} u_x, \tag{9}$$

where $\zeta_x \in \mathbb{F}_\infty^*$, $v_\infty(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $u_x \in k_\infty$ is a 1-unit (i.e., congruent to 1 modulo (π)) and depends on π). We say x is “positive” or “monic” if and only if $\zeta_x = 1$. Clearly the positive elements form a subgroup of finite index of k_∞^* .

Definition 5. We set $\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle_\pi := u_x$ where u_x is defined in Equation 9.

As mentioned, the element $\langle x \rangle$ depends on π , but no confusion will result by not making this dependence explicit. We will have more to say about this later.

Note that $x \mapsto \langle x \rangle$ is a homomorphism from k_∞^* to its subgroup $U_1(k_\infty)$ of 1-units.

As above, X is the smooth projective curve associated to k . For any fractional ideal I of A , we let $\deg_k(I)$ be the degree over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the divisor associated to I on the affine curve $X - \infty$. For $\alpha \in k^*$, one sets $\deg_k(\alpha) = \deg_k((\alpha))$ where (α) is the associated fractional ideal; this clearly agrees with the degree of a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Definition 6. Set $\mathbb{S}_\infty := \mathbb{C}_\infty^* \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

The space $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ plays the role of the complex numbers in our theory in that it is the domain of “ n^s .” Indeed, let $s = (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$ and let $\alpha \in k$ be positive. The element $v = \langle \alpha \rangle - 1$ has absolute value < 1 ; thus $\langle \alpha \rangle^y = (1 + v)^y$ is easily defined and computed via the binomial theorem.

Definition 7. We set

$$\alpha^s := x^{\deg_k(\alpha)} \langle \alpha \rangle^y. \quad (10)$$

Clearly $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ is a group whose operation is written additively. Suppose that $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and α^j is defined in the usual sense of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the multiplicative group. Let $\pi_* \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^*$ be a fixed d_∞ -th root of π . Set $s_j := (\pi_*^{-j}, j) \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$. One checks easily that Definition 7 gives $\alpha^{s_j} = \alpha^j$. When there is no chance of confusion, we denote s_j simply by “ j .”

In the basic case $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ one can now proceed to define zeta-values. However, in general A has nonprincipal and positively generated ideals. Fortunately there is a canonical and simple procedure to extend Definition 7 to them as follows. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the group of fractional ideals of the Dedekind domain A and let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ be the subgroup of principal ideals. Let $\mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be the subgroup of principal ideals which have positive generators. It is a standard fact that $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}^+$ is a finite abelian group. The association

$$\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{P}^+ \mapsto \langle \mathfrak{h} \rangle := \langle \lambda \rangle_\pi, \quad (11)$$

where λ is the unique positive generator of $\mathfrak{h}$, is obviously a homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}^+$ to $U_1(k_\infty) \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty^*$.

Let $U_1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty^*$ be the group of 1-units defined in the obvious fashion. The binomial theorem, again, shows that $U_1(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. However, it is also closed under the unique operation of taking p -th roots; as such, $U_1(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space.

Lemma 1. *The mapping $\mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow U_1(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$ given by $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto \langle \mathfrak{h} \rangle_\pi$ has a unique extension to $\mathfrak{I}$ (which we also denote by $\langle ? \rangle_\pi$).*

Proof. As $U_1(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space, it is a divisible group; thus the extension follows by general theory. The uniqueness then follows by the finitude of $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}^+$. $\square$

The next lemma explaining the dependence on π will play a fundamental role for us.

Lemma 2. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two positive parameters and let I be a nonzero ideal of A . Then*

$$\langle I \rangle_{\pi_1} = (\pi_1/\pi_2)^{\deg_k(I)/d_\infty} \langle I \rangle_{\pi_2}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The formula obviously works when I is principal and positively generated and both sides of Equation 12 are homomorphisms as functions of I . Therefore, the uniqueness of the extension from $\mathcal{P}^+$ to all ideals finishes the result. $\square$

If $s \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$ and I are chosen as above, we now set

$$I^s := x^{\deg_k(I)} \langle I \rangle^y. \quad (13)$$

Thus if $\alpha \in k$ is positive one sees that $(\alpha)^s$ agrees with α^s as in Equation 10.

For a fractional ideal $\mathfrak{J}$ and integer j , as promised, we now put $\mathfrak{J}^{(j)} := \mathfrak{J}^{s_j}$. Thus if $a \in k$ is positive then $(a)^{(j)} = a^j$ by definition. The reader can check that $\mathfrak{J}^{(j)}$ is independent of π and π_* up to possible multiplication of d_∞ -th root of unity.

The values $\mathfrak{J}^{(j)}$ are obviously determined multiplicatively by $\mathfrak{J}^{(1)}$. Furthermore, suppose $\mathfrak{J}^t = (i)$ where i is positive where t is a positive integer (which always exists as the ideal class group is finite) and put $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{J}^{(1)}$. Then we have the basic formula

$$\mathfrak{i}^t = i. \quad (14)$$

From this it is very easy to see that the values $\mathfrak{J}^{(1)}$ generate a finite extension V of k in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ which is called the *value field*. It is also easy to see that $\mathfrak{J}$ becomes principal in this field and is generated by $\mathfrak{J}^{(1)}$.

4.2. The ζ -values. Let j be an arbitrary integer.

Definition 8. We formally put

$$\zeta(j) := \sum_{\mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{J}^{(-j)} = \sum_{\mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{J}^{s_{-j}};$$

where $\mathfrak{J}$ ranges over the nonzero ideals of A and $s_{-j} \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$ was defined after Definition 7.

Because the analysis is nonArchimedean, the sum $\zeta(j)$ clearly converges to an element of $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ for $j > 0$. At the nonpositive integers we must regroup the sum. More precisely, for $j \geq 0$ we write

$$\zeta(-j) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\deg_k(\mathfrak{J})=e} \mathfrak{J}^{(j)} \right), \quad (15)$$

where, as above, $\deg_k(\mathfrak{J})$ is the degree over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathfrak{J}$. As is now well-known (see, e.g., Chapter 8 of [Go1]) for sufficiently large e the sum in parentheses vanishes. Thus the value is an algebraic integer over A .

It is known that $\zeta(-j) = 0$ for j a positive integer divisible by $q_\infty - 1$. These are the *trivial zeroes*.

Definition 9. For $j \geq 0$, we set

$$z(x, -j) = z_A(x, -j) := \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} x^{-e} \left(\sum_{\deg_k(\mathfrak{J})=e} \mathfrak{J}^{(j)} \right). \quad (16)$$

As the sum in parentheses in Equation 15 vanishes for sufficiently large e , we see that $z(x, -j)$ is a *polynomial* in x^{-1} . These polynomials themselves also occur as special zeta values, and, as such, are called the *special polynomials*.

We note that the values given above are all special values of the function given in the next definition.

Definition 10. We define

$$\zeta(s) = \zeta_A(s) = \zeta_{A,\pi}(s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{J}} \mathfrak{J}^{-s} \quad (17)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$ and nonzero ideals $\mathfrak{J}$ of A .

In Lemma 3 below we will make explicit the dependence of $\zeta_{A,\pi}(s)$ on π .

Let $s = (x, y)$. One then rewrites $\zeta_{A,\pi}(s)$ as

$$\zeta_{A,\pi}(s) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} x^{-e} \left(\sum_{\deg_k(\mathfrak{J})=e} \langle \mathfrak{J} \rangle^{-y} \right). \quad (18)$$

The analytic continuation of $\zeta_{A,\pi}(s)$ (and all such arithmetic Dirichlet series) is accomplished by showing that these power series are actually entire in x^{-1} , with very strong continuity properties on all of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$.

Lemma 3. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two positive parameters, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^*$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we have*

$$\zeta_{A,\pi_2}(\alpha, y_0) = \zeta_{A,\pi_1}((\pi_1/\pi_2)^{-y_0/d_\infty} \alpha, y_0). \quad (19)$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 upon unraveling the definitions. $\square$

Let $t = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{S}_\infty$. By the “order of zero of $\zeta_{A,\pi}(s)$ at t ,” one means the order of zero of the entire power series $\zeta_{A,\pi}(x, y_0)$ at $x = x_0$.

5. THE GROUP $S_{(q)}$

In this section we will introduce the automorphism groups of interest to us. These will be subgroups of the group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and they will stabilize – and so permute – both the nonpositive and nonnegative integers sitting in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let q continue to be a power of p and let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Write x q -adically as

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i q^i \quad (20)$$

where $0 \leq c_i < q$ for all i . If x is a nonnegative integer (so that the sum in Equation 20 is obviously finite), then we set

$$\ell_q(x) = \sum_i c_i. \quad (21)$$

Let ρ be a permutation of the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Definition 11. We define $\rho_*(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, by

$$\rho_*(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i q^{\rho(i)}. \quad (22)$$

Clearly $x \mapsto \rho_*(x)$ gives a representation of ρ as a set permutation (in fact, as we will see in Proposition 4, a homeomorphism) of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition 12. We let $S_{(q)}$ be the group of permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ obtained as ρ varies over all permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Remark 2. We use the notation “ $S_{(q)}$ ” to avoid confusion with the symmetric group S_q on q -elements.

Note that if q_0 and q_1 are powers of p , and $q_0 \mid q_1$, then $S_{(q_1)}$ is naturally realized as a subgroup of $S_{(q_0)}$.

The next proposition gives the basic properties of the mapping $\rho_*(x)$.

Proposition 4. *Let $\rho_*(x)$ be defined as above.*

1. *The mapping $x \mapsto \rho_*(x)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*
2. *(“Semi-additivity”) Let x, y, z be three p -adic integers with $z = x + y$ and where there is no carry over of q -adic digits. Then $\rho_*(z) = \rho_*(x) + \rho_*(y)$.*
3. *The mapping $x \mapsto \rho_*(x)$ stabilizes the nonnegative integers.*
4. *The mapping $x \mapsto \rho_*(x)$ stabilizes the negative integers.*
5. *Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then $\ell_q(n) = \ell_q(\rho_*(n))$.*
6. *Let n be an integer. Then $n \equiv \rho_*(n) \pmod{q-1}$.*

Proof. To see Part 1, let j be a positive integer. We want to show that the first q^j expansion coefficients of $\rho_*(x)$ and $\rho_*(y)$ are the same if $x \equiv y \pmod{q^t}$ for some positive integer t . Let ϕ be the inverse permutation to ρ (as functions on the nonnegative integers). Choose t greater than $\phi(e)$ for $e = 0, \dots, j-1$. Parts 2 and 3 are obvious. To see Part 4, let n be a negative integer and let j be a positive integer chosen so that $q^j + n$ is nonnegative. Then q -adically we have

$$n = (q^j + n) - q^j = (q^j + n) + (q-1)q^j + (q-1)q^{j+1} + \dots, \quad (23)$$

as $-1 = q-1 + (q-1)q + (q-1)q^2 + \dots$. On the other hand, $\rho_*(n)$ will now clearly also have almost all of its q -adic coefficients equal to $q-1$ and the result is clear. Part 5 is clear and implies Part 6 for nonnegative n as then we have $n \equiv \ell_q(n) \pmod{q-1}$. Thus suppose n is negative. As in Equation 23 write $n = (q^j + n) - q^j$ with $q^j + n$ nonnegative. By Part 2, we have

$$\rho_*(n) = \rho_*(q^j + n) + \rho_*(-q^j). \quad (24)$$

Clearly $\rho_*(-q^j)$ has almost all coefficients equal to $q-1$ with the rest equaling 0; thus we can write $\rho_*(-q^j) = m - q^t$ for some t where m is positive and divisible by $q-1$. Part 6 for nonnegative integers now implies that modulo $q-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_*(n) &= \rho_*(q^j + n) + m - q^t \\ &\equiv (q^j + n) - q^t \\ &\equiv 1 + n - 1 \\ &\equiv n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, by Parts 3 and 4 of Proposition 4, ρ_* permutes both the nonpositive and nonnegative integers.

Notice further that the injection $x \mapsto p^e x$ (e a positive integer) is not in $S_{(p)}$ as it is not surjective. However, let n be a positive integer. Then clearly $p^e n = \rho_*(n)$ for infinitely many $\rho_* \in S_{(p)}$ (which may vary with n). Note, however, that multiplication by p will change the set of q -adic digits of an integer if $q > p$, etc. Thus in this case pn will not equal $\rho_*(n)$ for any $\rho_* \in S_{(q)}$.

The reader can readily see that the cardinality of $S_{(p)}$ is $\mathfrak{c}$ (where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the cardinality of the continuum) as this is the cardinality of the group of permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Definition 13. Let ρ be as above and let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We define

$$\hat{\rho}_*(x) := -\rho_*(-x). \quad (25)$$

It is clear that $\hat{\rho}_*$ also stabilizes the nonnegative and nonpositive integers. Moreover, one can easily find examples of ρ and x such that $\hat{\rho}_*(x)$ is *not* given by digit permutations.

Remark 3. Let $x \in (0, 1)$ be a real number with decimal expansion

$$x = .x_0x_1 \dots \quad (26)$$

In order to have this expansion be unique, we require that infinitely many of the x_i do not equal 9. We can then play the same permutation game with these decimal coefficients and we thereby obtain homeomorphisms of $(0, 1)$. Similar remarks work for an arbitrary base b .

It is quite remarkable that the groups $S_{(q)}$ have a very natural relationship with binomial coefficients considered modulo p . This is given in our next two results.

Proposition 5. Let $\sigma \in S_{(p)}$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and k a nonnegative integer. Then we have

$$\binom{y}{k} \equiv \binom{\sigma y}{\sigma k} \pmod{p}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lucas' formula. $\square$

Next, we recall the definition of the algebra of divided power series over a field L . These are formal sums of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \frac{z^i}{i!},$$

where $\{c_i\} \subseteq L$ and one has the obvious multiplication

$$\frac{z^i}{i!} \cdot \frac{z^j}{j!} := \binom{i+j}{i} \frac{z^{i+j}}{(i+j)!}.$$

As the binomial coefficient is an integer, this definition works in all characteristics.

Proposition 6. Let i and j be two nonnegative integers. Let $\sigma \in S_{(p)}$. Then

$$\binom{i+j}{i} \equiv \binom{\sigma i + \sigma j}{\sigma i} \pmod{p}. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Lucas' formula shows that if there is any carry over of p -adic digits in the addition of i and j , then $\binom{i+j}{i}$ is 0 modulo p . However, there is carry over of the p -adic digits in the sum of i and j if and only if there is carry over in the sum of σi and σj ; in this case both sums are 0 modulo p . If there is no carry over, then the result follows from Part 2 of Proposition 4 $\square$

Corollary 1. Let σ be as in the proposition. Then the mapping $\frac{z^i}{i!} \mapsto \frac{z^{\sigma i}}{\sigma(i)!}$ is an algebra automorphism of the divided power series in characteristic p .

As was explained in Section 8.22 of [Go1] and [Co1], the algebras of measures in characteristic p are isomorphic to divided power series algebras. More precisely, let $R := \mathbb{F}_q[[u]]$. One first picks a basis for the Banach space of continuous $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear functions; then, using the q -adic expansion of an integer t , one obtains an associated basis for the Banach algebra of *all* continuous functions from R to itself. One then sees readily that the algebra of R -valued measures on R (equipped with convolution as usual) is thus isomorphic to the formal divided power series algebra over R . Therefore the next corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 2. *The group $S_{(p)}$ acts as automorphisms of the convolution algebra of R -valued measures on R .*

Example 2. As an example of how Corollary 2 may be used, consider the field $k_\infty(\pi_*) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q_\infty}((\pi_*))$. It contains the ring $\mathbb{F}_{q_\infty}[[\pi_*]]$ which is obviously the completion of $\mathbb{F}_{q_\infty}[\pi_*]$ at the ideal generated by π_* . One can then, e.g., use the Carlitz polynomial basis, as Section 8.22 of [Go1], to obtain the isomorphism with the divided power series algebra.

Remark 4. At first glance, classical theory would indicate that there should be an extension of the action of $S_{(p)}$ to all of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ which is analytic in the first variable and which takes integer powers to integer powers. However, one knows that the bijective rigid analytic maps from $\mathbb{G}_m$ to itself are of the form $x \mapsto cx^{\pm 1}$ for some nonzero constant c . There simply do not appear to be enough of these functions to extend the action of $S_{(p)}$ on the integers inside $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ to all of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$ *analytically* (in the first variable).

The above remark leads us to look at non-analytic maps in hopes of extending the action of $S_{(q)}$ to $\mathbb{C}_\infty^*$ and thus all of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$. Calculations, to be given in 6.2.1, lead to the following construction.

Definition 14. We let $K_1 := \mathbb{F}_\infty((\pi_*))$.

Obviously K_1 is totally ramified extension of K . Let $x \in K_1^*$ which we write as $x = \sum_{i \gg -\infty} c_i \pi_*^i$.

Definition 15. Let ρ be a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ as before and let $x \in K_1^*$ be written as just above. We set

$$\rho_*(x) := \sum_{i \gg -\infty} c_i \pi_*^{\rho_*(i)} \in K_1^*. \quad (29)$$

This definition precisely works because, by Proposition 4, we know that ρ_* stabilizes both the nonpositive and nonnegative integers. Moreover, one can easily modify the proof of Proposition 4 to deduce the continuity of ρ_* on K_1^* .

Definition 16. We set

$$\mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi_*} := K_1^* \times \mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{S}_\infty.$$

For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi_*}$, we set

$$\rho_*(x, y) := (\rho_*(x), \hat{\rho}_*(y)) \in \mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi_*}, \quad (30)$$

with $\hat{\rho}$ as in Definition 13.

One then easily computes that for an integer j

$$\rho_*(s_{-j}) = s_{-\rho_*(j)}, \quad (31)$$

which we would like for any extension of the action of ρ on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and is very natural in terms of trivial zeroes (see Subsection 6.1). As of this writing, we know of no natural way to extend Definition 16 to all of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$.

Remark 5. Let v be a prime of A where A is now arbitrary. We discuss here briefly the v -adic theory associated to $\zeta_{A, \pi}(s)$. Let k_v be the associated completion of k with fixed algebraic closure $\bar{k}_v$ equipped with the canonical absolute value etc. Let $\mathbb{C}_v$ be the associated completion. As explained in Section 8.3 of [Go1], the special polynomials (Definition 9) interpolate to two-variable functions on

$$\mathbb{C}_v^* \times \mathbb{S}_v$$

where $\mathbb{S}_v = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(q^\delta - 1)$, and δ is determined by the degree of v plus a choice of injection, over k , of the value field V into $\mathbb{C}_v$. (This construction is quite similar to the p -adic interpolation of classical L -values.) The appropriate group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}_v$ is then $S_{(q^\delta)}$ as its elements preserve congruence classes modulo $q^\delta - 1$.

Finally, we finish this section with the following finiteness result whose easy proof will be left to the reader. Note first that by Part 5 of Proposition 4, for any constant c , the set $X(q, c)$ consisting of all positive integers n with $\ell_q(n) = c$ is stable under $S_{(q)}$.

Proposition 7. *The set $X(q, c)$ consists of finitely many orbits of $S_{(q)}$.*

6. $S_{(q)}$ AS SYMMETRIES OF $\zeta(s)$

In this last section, we present the evidence showing how $S_{(q)}$ and its subgroups arise as symmetries of the ζ -functions and values of Section 4.2. The evidence we have is Eulerian by its very nature as we *only* use the special values. However, unlike Euler, we cannot now guess at the mechanism that exhibits these groups as automorphisms of the full two-variable zeta function.

As we saw in Proposition 4, the group $S_{(q)}$ permutes both the positive and negative integers. Each set of integers separately gives evidence that $S_{(q)}$ acts as symmetries of $\zeta(s)$. However, it may ultimately turn out that both types of evidence are really manifestations of the same underlying symmetries.

We begin with the evidence from the negative integers.

6.1. Evidence from ζ -values at negative integers. In this section we present the evidence that $S_{(q)}$ acts as symmetries of $\zeta(s)$ arising from the negative integers. We believe that this evidence has greater impact than the evidence given in Subsection 6.3 (at the positive integers) because it represents actual symmetries associated to the zeroes of $\zeta(s)$. We shall see, experimentally at least, that the orders of vanishing of $\zeta(s)$ at negative integers (as recalled in Subsection 4.2) appear to be invariants of the action of $S_{(q)}$.

Here is what is known about such vanishing in general. Recall that $q_\infty := q^{d_\infty}$ where d_∞ is the degree of ∞ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let j be a positive integer which is divisible by $q_\infty - 1$. Then it is known that $\zeta(-j) = 0$ (see, e.g. Section 8.13 of [Go1]). Current theory naturally gives very classical looking *lower bounds* on the order of vanishing of these “trivial zeroes.” In the case $d_\infty = 1$ this bound is 1; when $d_\infty > 1$, it may be greater than 1. As our examples here all have $d_\infty = 1$, we refer the interested reader to [Go1] for the general case.

The first example is $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Here it is known [Sh1] that *all* zeroes are simple and that $\zeta(-j) \neq 0$ for $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. By Part 6 of Proposition 4, we have $j \equiv \rho_*(j) \pmod{q-1}$. Thus the next proposition follows immediately.

Proposition 8. *Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Then the order of vanishing of $\zeta(s)$ at $-j$, j positive, is an invariant of the action of $S_{(q)}$ on the positive integers.*

By itself, Proposition 8 is certainly not overwhelming evidence for realizing $S_{(q)}$ as a symmetry of the full zeta function. However, in the case of some nonpolynomial A with ∞ rational, Dinesh Thakur [Th1], Theorem 5.4.9 of [Th2], and Javier Diaz-Vargas [DV2] have produced some fundamentally important calculations on zeroes at negative integers. They found examples of trivial zeroes where the natural lower bound was *not* the exact order of vanishing; of course, this is something that never happens in the classical analytic theory of L -series (but see 6.3.1 for analogies in the classical theory of Bernoulli numbers as well as

justification for the terminology which follows). Zeroes arising at the negative integers with order of vanishing strictly greater than the predicted lower bound will be called “irregular,” otherwise they are said to be “regular.” (N.B.: In earlier versions of this work, as well as other papers, we called such zeroes “nonclassical.”)

The calculations of Thakur and Diaz-Vargas seem to imply that the irregular trivial zeroes which occur at $-j$, j a nonnegative integer, actually occur where $\ell_q(j)$ is *bounded*. Moreover, their calculations *also* continue to exhibit $S_{(q)}$ invariance of the orders of vanishing of even these irregular zeroes.

As such we expect Proposition 8 to remain true for general A where q will need to be replaced by q_∞ .

Remark 6. If Proposition 8 is true for general A , and the irregular trivial zeroes are characterized by having bounded sums of q -adic digits, then Proposition 7 immediately implies that there are only finitely many possibilities for the order of zero at irregular trivial zeroes. This result is quite reasonable to believe.

As analytic objects on $\mathbb{S}_\infty$, our zeta functions are naturally 1-parameter families of entire power series where the parameter is $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Having such a huge group acting on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ may ultimately give us good control of the family. We will see serious evidence for this in our next subsection.

6.2. Evidence from special polynomials. We begin by recalling some relevant history as well as results. Let i and e be nonnegative integers. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and let $A^+(e)$ be the monic polynomials of degree e inside A . Define

$$S_e(i) := \sum_{f \in A^+(e)} f^i. \quad (32)$$

Let π be a uniformizer in k_∞ as before with associated 1-unit parts $\langle f \rangle = \pi^e f$. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define

$$\tilde{S}_e(t) := \sum_{f \in A^+(e)} \langle f \rangle^t. \quad (33)$$

Clearly $\tilde{S}_e(t)$ is continuous in t . Obviously, for i a nonnegative integer, $\pi^{ei} S_e(i) = \tilde{S}_e(i)$, and so both sides are nonzero for the same i .

In [Ca4], L. Carlitz mentions the following necessary and sufficient criterion for $S_e(i)$ to be nonzero: There should be an expression

$$i = i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_e, \quad (34)$$

such that all i_j are nonnegative, and such that

- (1) there is no carryover of p -adic digits in the sum,
- (2) for $0 \leq j < e$, we have $i_j > 0$ and divisible by $q - 1$.

We agree to call such an expression for i an “admissible representation for i relative to e .” The necessity actually follows easily from expanding $f \in A^+(e)$ by the multinomial theorem.

Dinesh Thakur astutely realized that Carlitz’s criterion, along with a corresponding formula for the degree of $S_e(i)$, could be used to compute the Newton polygons associated to the power series arising from ζ_A (which do *not* depend on the choice of π). However, it fell to J. Sheats, in [Sh1], to give the first rigorous proof of Carlitz’s assertions for general q . Along the way, Sheats also established the following results.

Proposition 9. 1. $\tilde{S}_e(t) = 0$ implies that t is a nonnegative integer.
2. Let i be a nonnegative integer. Then

$$S_e(i) = 0 \Rightarrow S_{e+1}(i) = 0,$$

for all $e \geq 0$.

Proposition 10. Let i be a nonnegative integer with $i = i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_e$ an admissible representation of i relative to e . Let $\rho_* \in S_{(q)}$. Then $\rho_*(i) = \rho_*(i_0) + \cdots + \rho_*(i_e)$ is an admissible representation of $\rho_*(i)$ relative to e .

Proof. This follows immediately from Parts 2 and 6 of Proposition 4. $\square$

Corollary 3. Let e, i and ρ be as above. Then we have

$$S_e(i) = 0 \iff S_e(\rho_*(i)) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the Proposition and Sheats' results. $\square$

Corollary 4. Let j be a nonnegative integer with associated special polynomial $z(x, -j)$ (Definition 9). Let ρ_* be as in the proposition. Then $z(x, -j)$ and $z(x, -\rho_*(j))$ have the same degree in x^{-1} .

Corollary 5. Let $X_e \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the zero set of $\tilde{S}_e(t)$. Then X_e is stable under the action of $S_{(q)}$ on t .

Proof. By Sheats we know that any zeroes of $\tilde{S}_e(t)$ must be nonnegative integers. By the proposition, we therefore see that

$$\tilde{S}_e(t) \neq 0 \iff \tilde{S}_e(\rho_*(t)) \neq 0$$

for all t and homeomorphisms ρ_* . So the result follows immediately. $\square$

Remark 7. Calculations suggest that X_e consists of finitely many orbits of $S_{(q)}$.

Remark 8. Warren Sinnott [Si1] has studied a large class of functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ containing uniform limits of $\tilde{S}_e(t)$ (for arbitrary e of course). Let $f(t)$ be one nontrivial such function. Then Sinnott shows that the zero set of $f(t)$ cannot contain an open set, unlike general continuous functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The results given above suggest that the zero set of $f(t)$ may in fact be countable.

Remark 9. Gebhard Böckle has informed me that Sheats' results imply that the degree of $z(x, -j)$ as a polynomial in x^{-1} equals

$$\min_i \{[\ell_q(jp^i)/(q-1)]\}$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$ and $q = p^{n_0}$.

Remark 10. The results of Jeff Sheats just mentioned were established along the way of Sheats' proof of the Riemann hypothesis for $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$. That is, as mentioned before, following D. Wan, D. Thakur, J. Diaz-Vargas and B. Poonen, J. Sheats was able to establish that $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ has *at most* one (including multiplicity) zero in x^{-1} of a given absolute value for each fixed $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; this implies that the zeroes in x^{-1} must be simple and "lie on the line" $\mathbb{F}_q((1/T))$.

It seems reasonable to expect that generalizations of the above results should hold for arbitrary A , etc.

Let K be a local non-Archimedean field of characteristic 0. A standard argument using Krasner's Lemma implies that K has only finitely many extensions of bounded degree. The same argument works in finite characteristic for degrees less than the characteristic (so one avoids inseparability issues).

Therefore, suppose that $\mathfrak{C}$ is an orbit of the nonnegative integers under $S_{(q_\infty)}$. Suppose that the special polynomials associated to elements in $\mathfrak{C}$ all have the same degree which we assume is less than p . We can conclude that their zeroes all belong to a finite extension of k_∞ .

Question 1. Is it true in general that the zeroes of special polynomials i and j , where i and j are in the same orbit under $S_{(q_\infty)}$, lie in a finite extension of k_∞ ?

The results of Sheats for $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ imply that all the zeroes of special polynomials lie in k_∞ in this case and so here the answer is obviously yes. Moreover, in 6.2.1 we will exhibit some evidence that the zeroes of special polynomials in the same orbit are related via $S_{(q_\infty)}$, so it makes sense to wonder if this relationship carries over to their splitting fields...

Finally, let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ again, and for the rest of this Subsection. Let $v = (f)$ be a prime of degree d in A with f monic. Recall that in Remark 5 we explained briefly how our functions interpolated v -adically; in the case at hand, it is easy to see that $\delta = d$. Let $A^+(e)_v$ be the monic polynomials of degree e which are prime to v . Define

$$\tilde{S}_{e,v}(t) := \sum_{f \in A_v^+(e)} f^t, \quad (36)$$

where t now belongs to $\mathbb{S}_v = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(q^d - 1)$. It is also reasonable to expect our results just given to hold v -adically. Let $X_{e,v} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_v$ be the zero set of $\tilde{S}_{e,v}(t)$. We simply point out that we already can deduce many orbits of $S_{(q^d)}$ on the nonnegative integers which lie in $X_{e,v}$. Indeed, if $e < d$ then $A_v^+(e) = A^+(e)$; so $X_e \subseteq X_{e,v}$ (recall X_e consists of nonnegative integers which also project densely into $\mathbb{S}_v$) and is obviously stable under $S_{(q^d)}$.

Suppose now that $e \geq d$. Let i be a nonnegative integer. Clearly,

$$\tilde{S}_{e,v}(i) = S_e(i) - f^i S_{e-d}(i).$$

Thus, by Part 2 of Proposition 9 we see that $X_{e-d} \subseteq X_{e,v}$ and is obviously stable under $S_{(q^d)}$.

6.2.1. Associated Computations. In this subsection A will always be $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. We shall present here some calculations, as well as a related result, that indicate rather strongly that the zeroes of $\zeta_A(s)$ are “stable” under the action given in Definition 16. Indeed, in Remark 10, we recalled that Sheats has established that all that all the zeroes of $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ have x -coordinate in $\mathbb{F}_q((1/T))$ and that there is at most one zero of any given absolute value for fixed $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; so, in particular, as elements of $\mathbb{S}_\infty$, the zeroes actually lie in $\mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi_*} = \mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi}$.

We put the word stable, above, in quotes because the situation is far from clear at the moment. Indeed, what actually appears to be stable is that part of the zeroes that is *invariant* upon changing one positive parameter for another as indicated in Lemma 3. However, we do not yet have a clear general statement of this even for $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Moreover, for more general A , one knows that there are zeroes of $\zeta_A(s)$ lying *outside* $\mathbb{S}_{\infty, \pi_*}$.

Proposition 11. *The set of positive parameters is a principal homogeneous space for the group $U_1(K)$ of 1-units.*

Proof. Let π_1 and π_2 be two positive parameters. Then the quotient π_1/π_2 obviously belongs to $U_1(K)$. The result follows. $\square$

Remark 11. Let π be a positive parameter and let $u \in K$ be a unit $\not\equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$. Then $u\pi$ will be a positive parameter for a different choice of “positive”.

Remark 12. In quantum field theory one is interested in the wave function ψ . This is a complex valued function whose absolute value $|\psi|$ gives predictions for results in physical experiments. In other words, only $|\psi|$ has physical meaning. Let $U := \{e^{i\theta}\}$ be the “gauge group” of complex numbers of norm 1; obviously multiplication by an element of U does *not* change the absolute value (and conversely). One is thus free to multiply ψ by functions of the form $e^{ip(x,t)}$ for arbitrary real valued functions $p(x,t)$ depending on position and time. From this freedom many remarkable things may be deduced. In the function field case, the “gauge group” $U_1(K)$ acts on the parameters and the values in line with Lemma 3. However, as we shall see, we are interested in more invariants than just given by the absolute value!

Let π_1 and π_2 be two positive uniformizers. Lemma 3 tells that if (α, y_0) is a zero of $\zeta_{A,\pi_1}(s)$ then $((\pi_1/\pi_2)^{y_0}\alpha, y_0)$ is a zero of $\zeta_{A,\pi_2}(s)$. It is then reasonable to ask what invariants arise in passing from α to $u^y\alpha$ for $u = \pi_1/\pi_2 \in U_1(K)$. For instance, obviously $|\alpha|_\infty = |u^y\alpha|_\infty$, where $|?|_\infty$ is the absolute value associated to the place ∞ , (and which is in keeping with the analogy to quantum theory just given). Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be chosen so that $|\alpha/\pi_1^t|_\infty = |u^y\alpha/\pi_2^t|_\infty = 1$. Thus we can write

$$\alpha = a\pi_1^t + \{\text{lower terms}\}$$

and

$$u^y\alpha = b\pi_2^t + \{\text{lower terms}\}$$

where a and b are nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$; as u is a principal unit, we conclude $a = b$. In other words, the whole monomial of least degree is an invariant of our action. We shall see shortly that there may be other invariant monomials.

We now present some elementary calculations that appear to indicate an action of $S_{(q)}$ on zeta-zeroes.

Example 3. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_3[T]$, $\pi = 1/T$ and $i = 13 = 1 + 3 + 3^2$. Let $H_{13} \subset S_{(3)}$ be the isotropy subgroup of 13. Let $\sigma_* \in H_{13}$; note that σ_* *must* permute the first three digits of a 3-adic integer (otherwise $\sigma_*(13) \neq 13$); this is simple but is also the key observation. Hand calculations then give

$$\zeta_{A,\pi}(x, -13) = 1 - (\pi^4 + \pi^{10} + \pi^{12})x^{-1}. \quad (37)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_*(\pi^4 + \pi^{10} + \pi^{12}) &= \pi^{\sigma_*(4)} + \pi^{\sigma_*(10)} + \pi^{\sigma_*(12)} \\ &= \pi^4 + \pi^{10} + \pi^{12} \end{aligned}$$

as $\sigma_*(4) = \sigma_*(1 + 3) = 1 + 3, 1 + 9, \text{ or } 3 + 9, \text{ etc.}$, since the first three digits of 13 are permuted! Thus

$$\sigma_*(\pi^4 + \pi^{10} + \pi^{12}, -13) = (\pi^4 + \pi^{10} + \pi^{12}, -13), \quad (38)$$

and the zero is obviously fixed under the action arising from digit permutations as given in Definition 16.

Example 4. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_2[T]$, $\pi = 1/T$ and $i = 3 = 1 + 2$ or $i = 5 = 1 + 4 = 1 + 2^2$. For $i = 3$ one finds

$$\zeta_{A,\pi}(x, -3) = (1 - \alpha x^{-1})(1 - \beta x^{-1}) \quad (39)$$

where $\alpha = \pi^3$ (this is the trivial zero, which will arise for all i as $q - 1 = 1$ in this case) and $\beta = \pi + \pi^2$. As above, if $\sigma_* \in H_3 =$ the isotropy subgroup of 3, then $\sigma_*(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\sigma_*(\beta) = \beta$ so that σ_* fixes both $(\alpha, -3)$ and $(\beta, -3)$. A similar calculation holds for $i = 5$ where there is the trivial zero $(\pi^5, -5)$ and another zero $(\pi + \pi^4, -5)$. Note also that there exists permutations ρ such that $\rho_*(3) = 5$. One then finds immediately that

$$\rho_*(\pi^3, -3) = (\pi^5, -5) \quad (40)$$

and

$$\rho_*(\pi + \pi^2, -3) = (\pi + \pi^4, -5). \quad (41)$$

Remark 13. The reader should note that having an action of $S_{(q)}$ on the zeroes as in the above examples appears to put extremely strong constraints on the form that the zeroes may take. For instance, let $q = 2$ and $i = 3$ as above. Note that if the second zero was $(\pi + \pi^4, -3)$ we would run into great difficulty as π^4 has an infinite orbit under H_3 .

In the arithmetic of function fields, the truly canonical ideas do *not* depend on the choice of positive parameter π . For instance, let $q = 2$ and $i = 3$ as in Example 4. The reader can easily find examples of positive parameters π so that when we transform the zero $(\pi + \pi^2, -3)$ using Lemma 3, we obtain a zero with expansion terms involving higher powers of π . As we just remarked, this causes absolute havoc with our hoped for action of $S_{(2)}$. *However*, the answer to this appears to lie in the use of our “gauge group” $U_1(K)$ as in our next example.

Example 5. Let $q = 2$ and now put $\pi_0 = 1/T$. As in Example 4 we see that $\zeta_{A,1/T}(x, -3) = (1 - \alpha x^{-1})(1 - \beta x^{-1})$ with $\alpha = \pi_0^3$ and $\beta = \pi_0 + \pi_0^2$. Let π be *any* other uniformizer and let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be the transformed zeroes under Lemma 3. It follows immediately that $\tilde{\pi}_0^3 = \pi^3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\pi_0 + \pi_0^2} &= (\pi/\pi_0)^3(\pi_0 + \pi_0^2) = \pi^3(\pi_0^{-1} + \pi_0^{-2}) \\ &= \pi^3(T + 1/T^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\pi_0 = \pi + a_2\pi^2 + a_3\pi^3 + \dots$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and may be completely arbitrary (as π is a completely arbitrary positive uniformizer). Using long division to compute $T = \pi_0^{-1}$, we find that

$$\widetilde{\pi_0 + \pi_0^2} = \pi + \pi^2 + 0 \cdot \pi^3 + O(\pi^4). \quad (42)$$

In other words, the terms “ $\pi + \pi^2 + 0 \cdot \pi^3$ ” are invariant of the choice of π , *and* these are the ones that transform correctly under our action of $S_{(2)}$!

One can readily find other such examples. Finally we present a rather general result that gives further support for believing that the zeroes of $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ are acted upon by $S_{(q)}$ in line with the above examples.

Let $q = p^{n_0}$ as above and let $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be written as

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i q^{e_i}, \quad (43)$$

where $0 \neq c_i < q$ for all i , N may be infinite, and $e_0 < e_1 < \dots$.

Definition 17. We set

$$y_c := \sum_{i=0}^N c_i q^i, \quad (44)$$

and call it the *q-adic collapse* of y .

For instance the 2-adic collapse of 5 is 3.

Let j now be a positive integer with associated q -adic collapse j_c . Let ρ be a permutation of $\{0, 1, \dots\}$ which has, in the above notation, the special values $\rho(i) = e_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, N$. (Obviously, as j is a positive integer, $N < \infty$.)

By Corollary 4, we see that $\zeta(x, -j_c)$ and $\zeta(x, -j)$ have the same degree d in x^{-1} . From Sheats we know that the zeroes lie in K and that there is at most one zero of each polynomial of a given absolute value. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ be the zeroes of $\zeta(x, -j_c)$, and let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$ be the zeroes of $\zeta(x, -j)$, where

$$\text{ord}_\pi \alpha_1 < \text{ord}_\pi \alpha_2 < \dots < \text{ord}_\pi \alpha_d$$

and

$$\text{ord}_\pi \beta_1 < \dots < \text{ord}_\pi \beta_d.$$

Proposition 12. *Let j be as above, but where we assume that all q -adic digits of j are $< p$, (for instance, when $q = p$). Then*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(\beta_i) = \rho_*(\text{ord}_\pi \alpha_i) \quad (45)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Proof. This follows from the calculations of these orders given by Diaz-Vargas in [DV1] $\square$

Calculations seem to indicate that the result is true for arbitrary $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We hope to return to these themes in future work.

6.3. Evidence from ζ -values at positive integers. In this section we discuss the evidence from the positive integers and, in particular, the evidence arising from Carlitz's analog, Theorem 2, of the classical von Staudt-Clausen result (which computes the denominators of Bernoulli numbers and is recalled in 6.3.1 when we return to classical number theory).

The work of David Hayes on “sign-normalized” rank one Drinfeld modules (see [Hay1] or Chapter 7 of [Go1]) shows the existence of a special Drinfeld module ψ with the following properties: It is defined over the ring of integers in a certain Hilbert Class Field of k (ramified at ∞) lying in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ which we denote by H^+ . Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be an ideal of A . Then the product of all $\mathfrak{J}$ -division values of ψ lies in H^+ and is an explicit generator of $\mathcal{O}^+ \mathfrak{J}$ where $\mathcal{O}^+$ is the ring of A -integers. The lattice L associated to ψ may be written $A\xi$ for a transcendental element $\xi \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Let $T := V \cdot H^+$ be the compositum of V and H^+ where V is defined after Equation 14. The following result is shown in [Go1] (Theorem 8.18.3).

Theorem 1. *Let j be a positive integer divisible by $q_\infty - 1$ and let $\zeta(j)$ be defined as in Definition 8. Then*

$$0 \neq \zeta(j)/\xi^j \in T. \quad (46)$$

Theorem 1 was established in the basic $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ -case by L. Carlitz in the 1930's; in this case, both V and H^+ equal k .

Let $\mathcal{O}_T$ be the A -integers of T .

Definition 18. Let j be divisible by $q_\infty - 1$. We define $\widetilde{BC}_j$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_T$ fractional ideal generated by $\tilde{\Pi}_j \zeta(j)/\xi^j$.

We call the fractional ideal $\widetilde{BC}_j$ the “ j -th Bernoulli-Carlitz fractional ideal” as, again, these were originally defined (as elements in $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$) by Carlitz in the 1930’s and are clearly analogous to the classical Bernoulli numbers (Definition 1).

Definition 19. Let $\mathfrak{d}_j := \{a \in A \mid a\widetilde{BC}_j \subseteq \mathcal{O}_T\}$.

We call $\mathfrak{d}_j$ the “ A -denominator of $\widetilde{BC}_j$,” it is obviously an ideal of A .

For $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, Carlitz ([Ca1], [Ca2], [Ca3]) gives an explicit calculation of $\mathfrak{d}_j$ which we now recall. Let $q = p^{n_0} > 2$ for the moment.

Theorem 2. (Carlitz) *There are two conditions on j :*

1. $h := \ell_p(j)/(p-1)n_0$ is integral.
2. $q^h - 1$ divides j .

If j satisfies both conditions, then $\mathfrak{d}_j$ is the product of all prime ideals of degree h . If j does not satisfy both conditions, then $\mathfrak{d}_j = (1)$.

Carlitz’s result gives us the first (historically) indication of an action of $S_{(q)}$ on ζ -values. This is given in the following corollary.

Corollary 6. *Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of A of degree h with additive valuation $v_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{d}_j)$ is an invariant of the action of $S_{(q^h)}$ on the positive integers divisible by $q-1$,*

What about $q = 2$. Here ([Ca3]) the same result holds if $h \neq 2$. More precisely Carlitz established the following result.

Theorem 3. (Carlitz) *Let $q = 2$ and consider the system given in Theorem 2. If this system is consistent for $h = \ell_2(j) \neq 2$, then $\mathfrak{d}_j$ is the product of all prime ideals of degree h . If it is consistent for $h = 2$, then for j even we have*

$$\mathfrak{d}_j = (T^2 + T + 1), \quad (47)$$

while, for j odd, we have

$$\mathfrak{d}_j = (T^2 + T \cdot T^2 + T + 1). \quad (48)$$

If the system is inconsistent and j is of the form $2^\alpha + 1$ (so $\ell_2(j) = 2$), then

$$\mathfrak{d}_j = (T^2 + T). \quad (49)$$

If it is inconsistent and j cannot be written as $2^\alpha + 1$, then $\mathfrak{d}_j = (1)$.

Corollary 7. ($q = 2$) *Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of A of degree h and suppose that first that $h \neq 1$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{d}_j)$ is an invariant of the action of $S_{(2^h)}$ on the positive integers. If $h = 1$ then $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{d}_j)$ is an invariant of the subgroup $\tilde{S}_{(4)}$ of $S_{(4)}$ arising from permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ fixing 0.*

It is reasonable to expect these symmetries to persist when Carlitz’s results are generalized to arbitrary A where, again, one will need to replace q with q_∞ .

Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of A of degree h . For simplicity assume that $q > 2$. Let i and j be two positive integers which are in the same orbit of $S_{(q^h)}$ and are divisible by $q-1$. Suppose i satisfies Carlitz’s two conditions given in Theorem 2; then by Corollary 6 so does j . In this case we see that $\widetilde{BC}_i$ and $\widetilde{BC}_j$ have the same order, -1 , at $\mathfrak{P}$.

Question 2. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree h . Suppose i and j are two nonnegative integers which are divisible by $q - 1$ and in the same orbit of $S_{(q^h)}$. Do $\widetilde{BC}_i$ and $\widetilde{BC}_j$ have the same order at $\mathfrak{P}$?

One can formulate variants of Question 2 for arbitrary A . We discuss a classical variant in our next subsection.

6.3.1. Classical Bernoulli numbers. In this final subsection we formulate an analog of Question 2 for classical Bernoulli numbers (Section 2) as well as present a reasonable solution to it as an exercise in p -adic continuity.

Let p now be an odd prime and let B_n be the classical Bernoulli number for a positive integer n , so that the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function gives

$$-B_n/n = \zeta(1-n), \quad (50)$$

where $\zeta(s)$ now is the Riemann zeta function as in Section 2.

Next we recall some fundamental, and famous, classical results on which we shall build. First, we have the classical *Adams congruences* which state that if $p - 1$ does not divide n , then B_n/n is integral at p . The following result now follows immediately.

Proposition 13. *Let n be a positive integer which is even and not divisible by $p - 1$. Let v_p denote the additive valuation associated to p . Then*

$$v_p(B_n) \geq v_p(n). \quad (51)$$

Let $t := v_p(n)$. If $t > 0$ then say that “ B_n has a trivial zero of order at least t at p .” In general, if $t = v_p(B_n)$, then we say that n is “regular” with respect to p ; otherwise we say it is “irregular.”

Note obviously that n is regular with respect to p if and only if $v_p(B_n/n) = 0$; thus we see the connection with the usual notion of “regularity” from the theory of cyclotomic fields. This simple analogy is the motivation for our use of this terminology also for zeta zeroes at negative integers (Subsection 6.1).

Next suppose that $p - 1$ divides n , n even. As above, let $\mathfrak{d}_n$ be the denominator of B_n . In this case the classical *von Staudt-Clausen Theorem* implies that $v_p(\mathfrak{d}_n) = 1$ and otherwise vanishes (N.B.: $v_2(\mathfrak{d}_n)$ is identically 1). We say that “ B_n has a simple pole at p .”

Finally, we recall the powerful *Kummer congruences* which state the following: Let $i > 0$ be a positive integer which is not divisible by $p - 1$. Let $j > 0$ be another integer and assume that

$$i \equiv j \pmod{p^{b-1}(p-1)}, \quad (52)$$

then

$$(1 - p^{i-1})B_i/i \equiv (1 - p^{j-1})B_j/j \pmod{p^b}. \quad (53)$$

Suppose now that $\rho_* \in S_{(p)}$ and let $m := \rho_*(n)$ where n is an even integer. We have seen that $m \equiv n \pmod{p-1}$ and, in particular, is also even. Question 2 leads us to consider whether $v_p(B_n) = v_p(B_m)$ in general. In this formulation, the answer is “no” as one sees with $p = 5$, $n = 2$ and $m = 10 = 2 \cdot 5$. Indeed, the numerator of B_2 is 1 and the numerator of $B_{10} = 5$ (so both 2 and 10 are regular with respect to $p = 5$ and B_{10} has a trivial zero at 5).

Note, however, that the classical von Staudt-Clausen result is simpler than its function field counterpart and only depends upon divisibility of n by $p - 1$. As such, we suppress

the action of $S_{(p)}$ here and concentrate on the more elementary notion of congruence. Under this assumption, a reasonable analogy to Question 2 may then be given as follows.

Question 3. Let n be a positive even integer. Does there exist a integer $t \geq 0$, which depends on n , such that if m is another positive integer with $m \equiv n \pmod{(p-1)p^t}$, then $v_p(B_n) = v_p(B_m)$?

The following result provides a positive answer.

Proposition 14. *Let p be an odd prime and n a positive even integer. Let*

$$t_0 := \max\{v_p(n), v_p(B_n/n)\}$$

and $t = t_0 + 1$. Suppose that m is another positive integer with $m \equiv n \pmod{(p-1)p^t}$. Then $v_p(B_n) = v_p(B_m)$.

Proof. One sees immediately that $v_p(n) = v_p(m)$. Moreover, the Kummer Congruences tell us that $v_p(B_n/n) = v_p(B_m/m)$. The result follows. $\square$

It may be interesting to find an upper bound for the integer t given in the proposition which depends only on n . Moreover, I do not know how often an integer m satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 3 can be obtained from n by permuting its p -adic digits.

It is my pleasure to acknowledge very useful input from Warren Sinnott and Bernd Kellner.

REFERENCES

- [Ay1] R. AYOUB: Euler and the Zeta Function, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **81** No.10, (Dec. 1974), 1067-1086.
- [Ca1] L. CARLITZ: An analogue of the von Staudt-Clausen theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **3** (1937), 503-517.
- [Ca2] L. CARLITZ: An analogue of the Staudt-Clausen theorem, *Duke Math. J.* **7** (1940), 62-67.
- [Ca3] L. CARLITZ: An analogue of the Bernoulli polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* **8** (1941), 405-412.
- [Ca4] L. CARLITZ: Finite sums and interpolation formulas over $GF[p^n, x]$, *Duke Math. J.* **15** (1948), 1001-1012.
- [Co1] K. CONRAD: The digit principle, *J. Number Theory* **84** (2000), 230-257.
- [DV1] J. DIAZ-VARGAS: Riemann hypothesis for $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$, *J. Number Theory*, **59**, No.2, (1996), 313-318.
- [DV2] J. DIAZ-VARGAS: On zeros of characteristic p zeta function, *J. Number Theory*, **117** No.2, (2006), 241-262.
- [Dr1] V.G. DRINFELD: Elliptic modules, *Math. Sbornik* **94** (1974) 594-627, English transl.: *Math. U.S.S.R. Sbornik* **23** (1976) 561-592.
- [Eu1] L. EULER: Remarques sur un beau rapport entre les séries des puissances tant directes que réciproques, *Memoires de l'academie des sciences de Berlin* **17** (1768), 83-106; *Opera Omnia: Series 1*, **15**, 70-90.
- [Go1] D. GOSS: *Basic Structures of Function Field Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996).
- [Go2] D. GOSS: Zeroes of L -series in characteristic p , *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.*, **11** No. V07, (2007), 69-80.
- [Hay1] D. HAYES: A brief introduction to Drinfeld modules, in: *The Arithmetic of Function Fields* (eds. D. Goss et al) de Gruyter (1992) 1-32.
- [Ros1] M. ROSEN: *Number Theory in Function Fields*, Springer 2002.
- [Si1] W. SINNOTT: Dirichlet series in function fields, *J. Number Theory* **128** (2008) 1893-1899.
- [Sh1] J. SHEATS: The Riemann hypothesis for the Goss zeta function for $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, *J. Number Theory* **71** (1998) 121-157.
- [Th1] D. THAKUR: On Characteristic p Zeta Functions, *Comp. Mathematica* **99** (1995) 231-247.
- [Th2] D. THAKUR: *Function Field Arithmetic*, World Scientific (2004).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 W. 18th AVE., COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

E-mail address: goss@math.ohio-state.edu